

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,**  
State Information Commissioner

**Appeal No 31/2018/SIC-I**

**Jawaharlal T. Shetye**  
**H. No. 35/A Ward No. 11**  
**Khorlim –Mapusa-Goa 403507**

**....Appellant**

**V/s**

- 1) The Public Information Officer,  
Dy. Director of Accounts,  
Directorate of Accounts,  
Panaji-Goa**
- 2. The First Appellate Authority,  
And Ex-Officia Joint  
Secretary to Government,  
Directorate of Accounts,  
Panaji-Goa**

**....Respondents**

**Filed on: 29/01/2018**  
**Decided on: 16/03/2018**

**ORDER**

1. The appellant Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye herein by his application dated 08/11/2017 filed under section 6(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 sought inspection of the documents/records pertaining to the pensioner Vilas Naguesh Mahale holder of pension payment order No. Goa-A/7634 and also the certified copy of the documents which would be identified after the inspection, from the Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO), Office of Deputy Director of Accounts, Panjim-Goa.

2. It is contention of the Appellant that the said application was responded by Respondent PIO on 7/12/2017 thereby rejecting the information interms of section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005,
3. Being not satisfied with the reply of Respondent PIO the appellant as such preferred 1<sup>st</sup> appeal on 12/12/2017 before the Director of Accounts at Panjim, being First Appellate Authority (FAA).
4. The Respondent No. 2, The First appellate authority by an order, dated 16/01/2018, dismissed the appeal of the appellant.
5. Being aggrieved by the action of both the Respondent and as no information was furnished to him, the appellant approached this Commission by way of second appeal on 29/01/2018, filed under section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005, there by seeking relief of direction to the PIO for providing inspection as sought by him and for invoking penal provisions.
6. Notices were issued to both the parties. In pursuant to which appellant was present in person. Respondents was represented by Shri. Naresh Kankonkar.
7. Common reply filed by both the Respondents on 13/03/2018 thereby enclosing the copies of available information. Copy of the same was furnished to

appellant and he was directed to verify the said information.

8. On subsequent date of hearing appellant submitted that since the information furnished to him as per his requirement and satisfaction, he is not pressing for the penal provisions and same may be dropped. Accordingly endorsed his say on the last page of Memo of appeal.
9. In view of submissions of the appellant and endorsement made there too. I find no reasons to proceed with the present appeal.
10. Appeal disposed accordingly. Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

**(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa